COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION THREE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTONCOURT OF APPEALS DIVISION II

	2016 JUN 20 AM 11: 40
STATE OF WASHINGTON)	STATE OF WASHINGTPHA
Respondent,)	STATE OF WASHING
(Kespondent,)	No. 48362-9-IPY
v.)	<u> </u>
)	STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL
SHANE MARTIN JONES)	GROUNDS FOR REVIEW
(your name)	
Amallant	
Appellant.)	
I, Shane M. Jones, have received and reviewed the opening brief prepared by my attorney. Summarized below are the additional grounds for review that are not addressed in that brief. I understand the Court will review this Statement of Additional Grounds for Review when my appeal is considered on the merits.	
Additional Ground 1	
Prior to trial for the current offense, the District Court had determined that	
the stop and detention of Mr. Jones by Deputy Plummer was a "TERRY STOP." Any	
evidence from this stop, to include witness testimony by Deputy Plummer and	
Mavis MacFarland, should have been suppressed under the "fruit of the	
poisonous tree" doctrine. Mr. Jones was rendered ineffective assistance of	
counsel when trial counsel failed to move for the suppression of this witness	
testimony.	
Additional Ground 2	
reducional Ground 2	
The identification of Mr. Jones at trial by Mavis MacFarland should have been	
excluded. The State's presentation of photograph's to MacFarland for	
identification of Mr. Jones was suggestive and prejudicial.	
If there are additional grounds, a brief summary is attached to this statement.	

Form 23

Signature: